WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	DIST	KICT OF ARIZONA	
UN	NITED STATES OF AMERICA		
		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Marco Gonzalez-Ramos	Case Number: <u>11-08232M-001</u>	
In accordance and was repre of the defenda	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 esented by counsel. I conclude by a prep ant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 2, 2011. Defendant was present conderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention	
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT	
\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
\boxtimes	The defendant, at the time of the cha	arged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
☒	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cor	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum	of years imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of the	me meaning in this matter, except as not		
1.		ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
2.	There is a serious risk that the defend		
۷.		tions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
The de	DIRECTIO	ONS REGARDING DETENTION	
appeal. The de of the United St	efendant shall be afforded a reasonable tates or on request of an attorney for the	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ee of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
		AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	
IT IS O deliver a copy o Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this of the motion for review/reconsideration	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Oct viocs suffici	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to iently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE: <u>May 2</u>	<u>2, 2011</u>		
		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge	